

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
JUN 18 2012

SSL SERVICES, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

CITRIX SYSTEMS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK
BY DEPUTY Ba

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:08-cv-158-JRG

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge.

Question No. 1:

Did SSL prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Citrix products infringed, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the claims of the patents listed below? **Answer YES or NO.**

'796 Patent

Claim 27

GoToMyPC

NO

GoToMeeting,
GoToTraining, and
GoToWebinar

NO

GoToAssist

NO

'011 Patent

Claim 2

Claim 4

Claim 7

Access Gateway

Yes

Yes

Yes

Netscaler

Yes

Yes

Yes

Question No. 2:

Did SSL prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Citrix is liable for contributory infringement of the claims of the patents listed below? **Answer YES or NO.**

'796 Patent	Claim 27		
GoToMyPC	<u>NO</u>		
GoToMeeting, GoToTraining, and GoToWebinar	<u>NO</u>		
GoToAssist	<u>NO</u>		
 '011 Patent	 Claim 2	 Claim 4	 Claim 7
Access Gateway	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Netscaler	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>

Question No. 3:

Did SSL prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Citrix is liable for inducing infringement of the claims of the patents listed below? **Answer YES or NO.**

'796 Patent	Claim 27		
GoToMyPC	<u>NO</u>		
GoToMeeting, GoToTraining, and GoToWebinar	<u>NO</u>		
GoToAssist	<u>NO</u>		
 '011 Patent	 Claim 2	 Claim 4	 Claim 7
Access Gateway	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>
Netscaler	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>Yes</u>

Question No. 4:

ANSWER QUESTION 4 IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" FOR ANY OF THE CLAIMS LISTED IN QUESTIONS 1, 2 OR 3. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION 4.

If you have found any of the claims infringed, did SSL prove by clear and convincing evidence that Citrix's infringement was willful? **Answer YES or NO.**

'796 Patent

'011 Patent

yes

Question No. 5:

Do you find that Citrix has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid? **Answer YES or NO.**

'011 Patent, Claim 2

NO

'011 Patent, Claim 4

NO

'011 Patent, Claim 7

NO

Question No. 6:

ANSWER QUESTION 6 IF YOU HAVE FOUND AT LEAST ONE CLAIM LISTED IN QUESTIONS 1, 2 OR 3 INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION 6.

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate SSL for Citrix's infringement? **Only award damages for those claims you find both infringed and not invalid.**

'796 Patent

\$ 0

'011 Patent

\$ 10,000.00

Signed this 18 day of June, 2012.